

REINSURANCE

Presented By:
Mr. Darius H. Sidhwa
Executive Director
EFU General Insurance Ltd.



Preview

This workshop will address theory and practice of reinsurance, and help participants to understand the following:

- Basics of Reinsurance, Proportional / Non Proportional.


Use and functions of:

- Proportional Treaties.
- Non proportional Treaties.
- Facultative Reinsurance
- Arranging combined Proportional / Non Proportional Treaties Program.



Insurance, Coinsurance, Reinsurance

- What is Insurance ?
 - It is a Risk transfer from an insured to an Insurance company.
- What is Co-insurance ?
 - It is risk sharing between two or more Insurance companies.
- What is Reinsurance ?
 - It is Insurance of Insurance or
 - Risk transfer from an insurance company to a reinsurance company.





Need for reinsurance

- There are large SINGLE RISK LOSSES
 - For example The BUSINESS HOUSE
- There are large CATASTROPHE LOSSES
 - For example The FLOOD LOSS
- Such occurrence/s can destabilise an insurance company financially or can also push it to insolvency.
- Reinsurance enables an insurance company to expand its capacity; stabilize its underwriting results; finance its expanding volume, and secure catastrophe protection against shock losses.



Functions of Reinsurance

- Provides Capacity.

Through Proportional Treaties.

- Gives Protection

Through Non Proportional Covers.

- Provides stability to results.

Balancing good years with bad years.



TYPES OF REINSURANCE

- PROPORTIONAL
- NON PROPORTIONAL





Methods of Reinsurance

PROPORTIONAL

- Facultative
(single risk)
- Treaty
(multiple risks)
 - ✓ Quota Share.
 - ✓ Surplus
 - ✓ Fac. / Obligatory.

NON PROPORTIONAL

- Facultative
(single risk)
- Treaty (Contracts)
(multiple risks)
 - ✓ Risk XOL.
 - ✓ Catastrophe XOL.
 - ✓ Stop Loss XOL



Proportional Reinsurance





PROPORTIONAL REINSURANCE

Proportional Reinsurance means that sums insured (= liability), premiums and losses are divided up between direct insurer & reinsurer according to respective share of the risk (i.e. Proportional)

- OPERATION / CESSION
- POLICY WRITTEN BASIS
- RISKS ATTACHMENT BASIS



OBLIGATORY REINSURANCE

Means treaty, automatic reinsurance of a whole portfolio and in most cases obligatory means obligatory for both sides, cedant and reinsurer.

EXAMPLE

- QUOTA SHARE TREATY

A Quota share treaty provides that the ceding insurer cedes and the reinsurer accepts a proportional interest in all the risks (as per agreed percentage) subject to a maximum rupees / dollars per risk limitation.

- QUOTA SHARE TREATY IS BEST SUITED FOR:

New ceding companies entering into a new class of business or a new area. This would be the best to get reinsurers to participate in portfolio with unknown experience and limited spread.



ABC General Insurance Company Ltd

Fire Department

SI in PKR mlns			Risk Profile -2009			Prm & claim in PKR thousands		
Risk Range		# of Risk	Total Sum Insured	Total Gross Premium	# of Claims	Probabilty Claim Ratio (%)	Total Gross Claims	Gross Claim Ratio (%)
0	100	104	3,700	950	52	50	320	34
100	200	60	11,200	4,140	45	75	2,490	60
200	300	115	28,244	12,990	48	42	6,700	52
		279			145	52%		
300	400	52	18,148	7,080	10	19	4,060	57
400	500	92	43,609	15,040	15	16	4,500	30
500	600	142	79,336	30,540	19	13	14,310	47
600	700	51	34,017	16,830	9	18	5,790	34
		337			53	16%		
700	800	63	49,392	19,070	28	44	5,780	30
800	900	45	37,710	15,830	4	9	1,780	11
900	1000	161	153,916	57,840	8	5	12,580	22
		269			40	22%		
		227	121,512	122,212	222	27%	72,212	22



Comments: Adequacy of Rates.

Computation:

Loss Experience Rate: Gross Losses / Total Sum Insured X 100

(Burning Cost) 58.31 million / 421,562 million X 100

=0.14‰.

0.14‰ X 100 / 70=0.20‰.

(loading for acquisition cost, management expenses
and margin of profit)

Computation:

Average Rate: Gross Premium / Total Sum Insured X 100

180.31 million / 421,562 million X 100 = 0.43‰.

The Burning Cost rate of 0.2‰ is much lower to average rate charged 0.43‰. It is due to excellent loss ratio for the year under review.

However, similar exercise need to be carried out on last five years risk profiles to test adequacy of rates.



Comments: Structure of Portfolio, claims control and risk improvement.

Small Risks up to 300 million has probability of losses 52%
(# of losses 145 / 279 X 100)

Medium Risks 400 plus to 700 million has probability of losses 16%
(# of losses 53 / 337 X 100)

Major Risks above 700 million has probability of losses 22%
(# of losses 40 / 269 X 100)

It is observed that **medium and large risks** are well maintained as the probability ratio of claims is relatively low as compared to **small risks**.

For small risks the ratio is very high and the company should find the reasons by addressing the following:

1. Insured's attitude for maintenance of risk.
2. Workers and management relations
3. Adequacy and maintenance of fire fighting equipments.



Uses of a Quota Share Treaty

- Simple Form of reinsurance to operate and for administration and accounts.
- Works like a partnership.
- Useful for a new company or for a new class of business, where the results of business are unpredictable.
- Useful for reciprocal exchange.
- Useful for classes of business where it is difficult to define "a single risk" viz.. Crop / Hail insurance.
- Also useful for long tail liability, Motor/TPL.



Disadvantages of a Quota Share treaty

- Inflexible method of RI.
- Since a fixed percentage of premium on each and every risk is ceded, the outflow of Premium is huge.
- Fails to reduce incurred claims ratio on the retained account.
- Capacity offered is limited.



SURPLUS TREATY

- A surplus treaty is an agreement whereby the ceding company is bound to cede and the reinsurer is bound to accept the surplus liability over the ceding company's retention.
- In contrast to the quota share treaty, the surplus treaty is characterized by the fact that the reinsurer does not participate in all risks written by the direct insurer, but only in certain risks, namely those that exceed the direct company's retention.
- A surplus treaty thus allows the ceding company to reinsure under the treaty any part of the risk, for example, the surplus, which it is not retaining for its own account.



Comparison

Quota Share

- Cession is made on every risk
- There can not be 100% retention of the risk. (if the company has a QS treaty).
- If it is a Variable QS treaty & retention is reduced, the cession to the treaty is increased.
- Basic commission is higher than the Surplus Treaty
- Most suitable method to give enough capacity for rapid growth in the formation stage of a Company.
- Can be arranged by way of fixed or VQS.

Surplus

- Cession is made on risks, which are surplus to the line of retention.
- Risks below the line of retention are retained for 100%. (if the company has no QS treaty).
- If, depending on the hazard of the risk, if retention (line) is reduced, the cession is also reduced.
- Basic commission is lower than the QS treaty.
- Most suitable method to give required capacity in grown up stage of Company.
- Can be arranged in a series of Surplus Treaties, FSP, SSP, TSP. Etc.



PROPORTIONAL REINSURANCE

DETERMINATION OF COMPANY'S RETENTION

EXERCISE - I

RULE

Maximum retention per risk should be around 1.0% to 5.0% of total Net Premium Income of cedent's portfolio.

COMPANY NET PREMIUM
INCOME OF FIRE PORTFOLIO

Figures Rs. In Mlns

YEAR	NET PREMIUM (100%)
2013	400
2014	460
2015	530
2016	610
2017	700

For 2010 recommended range of retention as per above calculation :

(a) Minimum scale 1.0% of net premium Rs. 700 Mln.

i.e. Rs. 700 Mln. X 1.0% = 7 Mln.

(b) Maximum scale 5.0% of net premium Rs.700 Mln

i.e. Rs 700 Mln X 5.0% = 35 Mln



EXERCISE - II

As per other Reinsurance experts.

“Maximum Retention per risk and per loss should not be more than 2.5% - 10% capital and free reserves”.

Company's Capital (2017) Rs. 300.00 Mln

Free Reserves (2017) Rs. 100.00 Mln

Total Net Assets (2017) Rs. 400.00 Mln

2.5% of Rs. 300.00 Mln = Rs. 10 Mln

10% of Rs. 300.00 Mln = Rs. 40.00 Mln



SUMMARY

Rs. Min

- EXERCISE I BASED ON NET PREMIUM 7.0 / 35.0
- EXERCISE II BASED ON NET ASSETS OF 10.0 / 40.0
- Retention could be placed anywhere between the above
 However, it may be influenced by the following:
 - Underwriting performance of business portfolio.
 - Balance of portfolio i.e. Homogenous exposure and good spread of risks.



CAPACITY OF TREATY

- QUOTA SHARE / SURPLUS TREATY
 - SPECIFIED LIMIT (say) **Rs. 100 million**
 - REINSURED RETENTION 10% Rs. 10 million (one line)
 - REINSURER'S LIMIT 90% Rs. 90 million (nine lines)
 - **TOTAL 100% Rs. 100 million**
 - EPI RS. 50 million
 - PREMIUM EXPOSURE RATIO = PREMIUM / REINSURERS
LIABILITY
- 50 MLN / 100 MLN
01 / 02

NOTE:

REINSURERS LOOK FAVOURABLE WHERE PREMIUM EXPOSURE RATIO IS BALANCED IN THIS CASE IT IS VERY HEALTHY.

TECHNICALLY THEY CALL IT AS BALANCING OF TREATY



CESSION TO TREATY

Example:

Risk with a sum insured of Rs. 100 million

Loss of Rs. 10 million or 10%

Without Reinsurance

	Direct Ins.	R / I
Sum Insured	100 Mln.	-
Loss	10 Mln.	-

With 90% Quota Share

	Direct Ins.	R / I
Sum Insured	10 Mln.	90 Mln
Loss	01 Mln	09 Mln



SURPLUS

EXAMPLE:

Direct Insurer's retention		10,000,000
Surplus of 09 lines = treaty capacity		90,000,000
(underwriting capacity = 100,000,000)		
Direct insurer writes a risk for sum insured of		80,000,000
at premium rate of 1%		800,000

Retention (Direct Insurer)

1st Surplus (Re-insurer)

Liability	10,000,000 (12.5%)	70,000,000 (87.5%)
Premium	100,000	700,000
Total Loss	10,000,000	70,000,000
Partial Loss (20,000,000)	2,500,000	17,500,000



Distribution of Risks

<i>SI</i>	<i>QS Ret.</i>	<i>QS Cess.</i>	<i>4 line FSP</i>	<i>4 line SSP</i>	<i>Facultative</i>
<i>300,000</i>	150,000	150,000	0	0	0
<i>500,000</i>	250,000	250,000	0	0	0
<i>1,000,000</i>	500,000	500,000	0	0	0
<i>4,000,000</i>	500,000	500,000	3,000,000	0	0
<i>5,000,000</i>	500,000	500,000	4,000,000	0	0
<i>9,000,000</i>	500,000	500,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	0
<i>10,000,000</i>	500,000	500,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	1,000,000
<i>12,000,000</i>	500,000	500,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	3,000,000
<i>15,000,000</i>	250,000	250,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	10,500,000

1. QS maximum limit 1,000,000 SI / PML & retention 50%

2. 1st Surplus of 4 lines with maximum limit of 4,000,000 SI/ PML

3. 2nd Surplus of 4 lines with maximum limit of 4,000,000 SI / PML



Proportional Reinsurance Treaty Slip

- Ceding Co. (ABC Insurance Ltd. Karachi)
- Type of Treaty: (QS/Surplus etc.)
- Period: continuous contract subject to 3 months PNOC on 31/12 any year
- Scope of business: Fire and allied perils written by Reinsured.
- Exclusions: As original or as listed here.
- Territorial Scope: Pakistan
- Retention: 20% Q. Sh. Rs. 100mln., Or Surplus Rs. 10 mln SI / PML min. 50%.
- 100% Treaty Limit: 80% Q Sh Rs. 100 mln SI / PML min 50%
- No. of Lines: 9 Surplus.
- Commission: Flat rate or on sliding scale
- Profit Commission: 25% (7.5% Reinsurer's expenses. Losses C/F till extinction)
- Accounts: Quaterly
- Premium Reserves: Nil
- Loss Reserves: Nil
- Portfolios withdrawal: Premium 35%, & O/S Losses 90%.
- Cash Loss Limit: Rs. 20 mln. for 100% loss to treaty.
- EPI: Rs.50 mln Q Sh. Surplus Rs. 40 mln
- Brokerage: 2.5%
- Statistics /Information regarding the portfolio: As seen by Reinsurer, 30 November 2010.
- Leader: XY Reinsurer 25%
- Reinsurer Share : Following reinsurer's share.
- Termination of Treaty: Clean cut basis / Run off basis



Commission

- An agreed fixed % of Premium, or on sliding scale depending upon loss ratio, paid by the Reinsurer to the Reinsured.
- Consideration to meet actual net acquisition cost, excluding salaries of staff.
- Influencing factors:
 1. Type of Treaty.
 2. Class of business.
 3. Country.
 4. Results.
- Uniform to all participants.
- May differ for reciprocity.



Sliding scale of Commission.

Rate of commission 25%	if the loss ratio is	65%	or more
Rate of commission 26%	if the loss ratio is	63%	but less than 65%
Rate of commission 27%	if the loss ratio is	61%	but less than 63%
Rate of commission 28%	if the loss ratio is	59%	but less than 61%
Rate of commission 29%	if the loss ratio is	57%	but less than 59%
Rate of commission 30%	if the loss ratio is	55%	but less than 57%
Rate of commission 31%	if the loss ratio is	53%	but less than 55%
Rate of commission 32%	if the loss ratio is	51%	but less than 53%
Rate of commission 33%	if the loss ratio is	49%	but less than 51%
Rate of commission 34%	if the loss ratio is	47%	but less than 49%
Rate of commission 35%	if the loss ratio is	45%	but less than 47%



Rating of Prop. Treaties - Ratios

Rs. In mlns.

Claims Ratio: Incurred Claims / Earned Premiums X100= %
450 / 1,000 X 100= 45%

Expense Ratio: Com.+Adm.Exp /Earned Premiums X 100= %
250 / 1,000 X 100=25%

Combined Ratio: Claims Ratio + Expense Ratio
70% 45% + 25%

It leaves reinsurers 30% profits



Treaty Pricing Calculation

Ceded reinsurance premium		100%
• Margin of basic losses	- 35%	
• Margin for extraordinary losses	- 15%	
• Margin of Catastrophe losses	- <u>10%</u>	
Total losses	60%	
Fluctuation loading	2%	
Admin cost loading	5%	
Technically justified commission	<u>33%</u>	100%



Non Proportional Reinsurance





What is Non Proportional RI?

- Non Proportional RI is basically a method of reinsurance through which the reinsured obtains protection for his portfolio.
- There is no pre-decided fixed proportion in which the reinsurer and reinsured share the premiums and losses of a portfolio. Hence this is called “Non Proportional”.
- These methods are also called “Excess of Loss Reinsurance.”



Why is it called “Excess of Loss”?

- For a recovery under this method of reinsurance:
 - The loss amount must **exceed** a fixed threshold known as deductible or priority or underlying for qualifying recovery from Reinsurers.
 - Reinsurer’s **liability is also fixed**, known as the Cover Limit.



How does it work?

- For example:
 - If the cover is 750,000 excess of 250,000.
 - Which means the loss must exceed 250,000 to qualify for a recovery from the reinsurer .
 - But at the same time, the Reinsurer's liability is limited to 750,000.
 - All losses up to 250,000 each are retained net.
 - If there is a loss of 1,250,000:
 - Reinsured retains 250,000
 - Recovery from Reinsurer: 750,000
 - Balance 250,000 also retained by Reinsured for inadequate cover arranged by him.



Advantage & Disadvantages of XOL RI

- Advantages:
 - Simple and inexpensive administration.
 - Efficient and clear protection.
- Disadvantages:
 - Premium cost may vary from year to year.
 - The Sum of retentions for a per risk cover can be relatively high if the frequency of losses is large
 - Risk might run out of cover if unexpected frequency exhaust the automatic reinstatements.
 - Further reinstatements might be at high costs.



NON PROPORTIONAL REINSURANCE

WORKING / OPERATION

- LOSS OCCURANCE BASIS / DATE OF LOSS

EXAMPLE

- Risk XOL COVER
- CATASTROPHE XOL COVER
- STOP LOSS XOL COVER




What are the main types ?

- Risk XOL: which protects the reinsured from large single risk losses, used for any traditional classes of business where a single risk can be defined.
- Catastrophe XOL: which protects the reinsured from accumulation of losses out of a single event, used for protection against traditional classes and particularly for Nat-Cat perils.
- Stop Loss XL: which protects the reinsured from accumulation of losses over a certain period, usually one year (e.g. Crop, Motor, Health Insurance,).



Risk Excess of Loss Cover

- Generally out of the claims profile of an insurer **most of the losses are small in size & few claims are large.**
- Insurer has capacity to pay small claims but needs help to pay large claims.
- Hence he chooses to pay all losses up to a level he is comfortable with and beyond that threshold asks the reinsurer to pay.





Limit of Liability in Risk XL

- In the Risk XL Slip, the Limit of Liability will be expressed “FOR EACH AND EVERY RISK/EACH AND EVERY LOSS” e.g.
- Rs 45 mln EER/EEL in excess of Rs 5 mln. EER/EEL.



PRACTICAL EXAMPLES

NON PROPORTIONAL REINSURANCE

DETERMINATION OF COMPANY'S RETENTION / PRIORITY FOR
MARINE CARGO PROTFOLIO

RULES OF THUMB

- 1) MAXIMUM RETENTION PER RISK AND PER LOSS SHOULD NOT BE MORE THAN 10% OF CAPITAL AND FREE RESERVES.

COMPANY'S CAPITAL	2017	RS.300.00 MLN
FREE RESERVES	2017	RS.100.00 MLN
TOTAL NET ASSESTS.		RS. 400.00 MLN
10% OF RS. 300.00 MLN. =		RS. 40.00 MLN.
RECEMMENDED RETENTION		RS. 40.00 MLN.



RULES OF THUMB

- 2) THE RETENTION PER LOSS LIES SOMEWHERE AROUND 10% OF PREMIUM RETAINED FOR OWN ACCOUNT FOR THE CLASS OF BUSINESS IN QUESTION.

NET PREMIUM FOR 2017	RS. 700.00 MLN
10% OF RS. 400.00 MLN.	RS. 70.00 MLN

RECOMMENDED UP TO	RS. 70.00 MLN
-------------------	---------------



RULES OF THUMB

- 3) THE LIQUID ASSETS SHOULD BE AROUND 5 TIMES THE MAXIMUM RETENTION PER LOSS IN THE COMPANY'S MOST IMPORTANT BRANCH.

LIQUID ASSET OF COMPANY 2017

(AMOUNT IN MLNS.)

CASH ON DEPOSIT A/C WITH BANKS	RS. 200.00
CASH ON CURRENT A/C WITH BANKS	RS. 100.00
CASH IN HAND	RS. 15.00

TOTAL LIQUID ASSETS **RS.315.00**

RS. 315.00 MLN./5 = RS. 63.00

RECOMENDED UP TO RS. 63.00



SUMMARY


METHOD	1	40.00 MLN
METHOD	2	70.00 MLN
METHOD	3	63.00 MLN

PRIORITY COULD BE FIXED ANY WHERE BETWEEN THE ABOVE, KEEPING IN VIEW FREQUENCY OF LOSSES AND UNDERWRITING PERFORMANCE OF BUSINESS PORTFOLIO



Catastrophe Excess of Loss

- Excess of loss cover protection for **accumulation of loss out of a single event**. Proportional Reinsurance and Risk XL control the **vertical** exposure on individual risks. However the Cat XL protects an insurer from **horizontal** exposure, when a single loss affects a number of policies and risks. Natural events such as a flood, cyclone, earthquake, volcanic eruption, or large fires in conflagration areas, or political risks such as riots can cause wide-spread loss.





Catastrophe Excess of Loss

- If an insurer has to retain several small losses arising out of one event, then their aggregate loss will be very large.
- Catastrophe XL is meant to respond to such a situation, means accumulation of losses. (It is not meant to respond when insufficient reinsurance has been arranged for a single risk.)
- Two-risk-warranty (In order to recover loss two risks must be effected in the event of loss.)



STRUCTURE OF XOL PROGRAM

LAYERING

XL COVER IS DIVIDED INTO VARIOUS CONSECUTIVE LAYERS

EXAMPLE:

THE DIRECT INSURER WANTS AN XL CAPACITY OF 400 MLN

WORKING LAYERS

COVER FOR INDIVIDUAL SINGLE RISK OR PER POLICY OR PER EVENT

FIRST LAYER	45.0 MLN.	XS	5.0 MLN
SECOND LAYER	50.0 MLN.	XS	50.0 MLN

CATASTROPHE LAYERS

PROTECTION AGAINST UNKNOWN ACCUMULATIVE LOSSES PER EVENT.

THIRD LAYER	100.0 MLN.	XS	100.0 MLN
FOURTH LAYER	200.0 MLN.	XS	200.0 MLN



Limit of Liability in Cat XL

- Under a Cat XL Treaty the limit of liability is expressed as "EACH AND EVERY EVENT / EACH AND EVERY LOSS". e.g.
- Rs.100 mln. EEE/EEL in excess of Rs.100 mln. EEE/EEL.
- Cat XL will have TWO RISKS WARRANTY. Which means minimum two risks insured by the company, must be involved in the event.



XOL Caters for Unknown Factors

- **WHEN THE LOSS EVENT WILL OCCUR?**
- **WHICH INSURED RISKS WILL BE DAMAGED AND IN WHAT WAY ?**
- **HOW MANY INSURED RISKS WILL BE AFFECTED AT ALL ?**



Rating-Working XL Layers

- The priority or deductible depend on insured's ability to retain losses and experience of business portfolio.
- The reinsured & Reinsurers expect frequent recoveries under this cover.
- Good example is the Motor XL cover.
- Usually these covers have unlimited reinstatements and the premium is charged on the Burning Cost method.



Rating – Working XL Layers

- GNPI:
 - Gross = Premiums booked by reinsured without any deductions in for commission, brokerage, taxes etc.
 - Net = Net of cost of any other reinsurances which have the effect of reducing the exposure to reinsurers under the XOL contracts.
 - Therefore premiums paid for facultative / specific XL may be deducted.

Burning Cost Calculation
 ABC Insurance Limited
 List of loss involving Reinsurance Recovery

(Amount in Mins.)
 (Priority 1.0 Min)

Year	100 % Paid Losses	ABC Priority	R / I Recoveries
2006	3,500,000	1,000,000	2,500,000
	2,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
	1,800,000	1,000,000	800,000
	1,650,000	1,000,000	650,000
	1,350,000	1,000,000	350,000
	10,300,000	5,000,000	5,300,000

ABC INSURANCE LIMITED

Burning Cost Calculation

(Amount in Mlns.)

U/W Year	GNPI	Recovery from XL R/I Priority 1.0 Min.	Burning Cost
2006	378.85	5.30	1.39%
2007	433.60	1.04	0.23%
2008	450.75	4.24	0.94%
2009	455.43	2.05	0.45%
2010	526.42	4.02	0.76%
Total	2,245.05	16.65	0.74%
Average	449.01	3.33	0.74%

Burning Cost Factor (100/80) X 0.74% = 0.93%

Note: Load Factors (Examples)

100/70 OR 100/75 OR 100/80

Minimum deposit premium

EPI Rs. 600 Min X 0.93% = 5.58 Min x 90% = 5.02 Min.

Reinsurers charge M&D premium @ 90%. (Sometimes they charge M&D in the range of 75% to 90%)

THIS METHOD IS USED FOR RATING OF WORKING EXCESS OF LOSS LAYERS WHICH HAVE LOSS EXPERINCE / HISTORY




XL Cost / Premium

Based on Reinsured's assessment of risk, which will depend upon a number of factors:

- GNPI
- Normal / Maximum acceptance limits
- Risk profile of protected account.
- Zone-wise accumulations.
- Loss experience.
- Limit / deductible of cover.
- Weather pattern of the country.
- Seismic activities etc.

Rating – Cat Layers

Total coverage 800 m XS 10 m



EXPOSURE REDUCES FOR HIGHER LAYERS

Premium 12,500,000 **ROL 2.50%**
PBP 40 yrs

Premium 9,000,000 **ROL 3.6%**
PBP 27.77 yr.

Premium 5,000,000 **ROL 10%**
PBP 10 yr.

ROL indicates Pay Back Period



Rate of Adjustment and M & D Premium

- Rate of adjustment:
 - Used to fix the cost / premium for the contract.
 - Various methods used:
 - Burning Cost.
 - Exposure rating.
 - Rate on line method.
- Minimum & Deposit:
 - GNPI 1,000
 - ROA 10%
 - XL Cost 100

Minimum & Deposit premium at the beginning of the contract may be paid at 80% to 100% of XL premium.
Reinsurer sells his capacity, hence minimum return must.



Reinstatements

- Recovery under XOL is per loss /occurrence/ event. So whenever the reinsurers settle a loss, the limit of loss will reduce to that extent.
- The Reinsured would require reinstatement of the reduced cover, depending upon the perceived exposure / past experience.
- When a claim is recovered from the Excess of cover, the cover is deemed to have been used up to that extent and it needs to be restored or ‘reinstated’ to its former level by payment of additional premium.
- Hence provision for required number of reinstatements can be made at additional premium



Calculation of Reinstatement Premium

Pro-rata as to amount only:

Cover is from 1.1.2017 to 31.12.2017

For 20,000,000 Xs 5,000,000 EEL

XL M&D Premium is 2,000,000

Loss to the cover on 30.6.2017 for 10,000,000

Reinstatement Premium is

$$\frac{10,000,000}{20,000,000} \times 2,000,000 = 1,000,000$$

Adjusted Premium on 31.12.2017 2,400,000.

Additional Reinstatement premium 300,000 is payable

EGNPI PKR 100mln. Rate 2% M&D 90% PKR 1.8 mln.

GNPI 31.12.2017: 120mln.



**ABC INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
MARINE CARGO XL PROGRAMME 2010
RECOVERY OF LOSS UNDER FIRST LAYER**

DATE: 01.01. 2010 to 31.12.2010.

TERMS OF XOL CONTRACT

PRIORITY	4.0 MLN
LIMIT OF LAYER	16.0 MLN
MINDEP	3.6 MLN
RATE	2.0 %
EPI	200.0 MLN

REINSTATEMENT TWO AT 100% PRORATA TO AMOUNT ONLY.



EXAMPLE:

NAME OF VESSEL	MV KOHINOOR
DATE OF LOSS	15TH APRIL 2010
CAUSE OF LOSS	SINKING
100% LOSS	18,400,000
NAME OF INSURED	XYZ INDUSTRIES

PART ONE

LOSS RECOVERY ON FIRST LAYER	
100% LOSS	18,400,000
LESS 20% UNDERLYING QUOTA SHARE TREATY (3,680,000)	14,720,000
LESS PRIORITY	(4,000,000)
RECOVERY UNDER FIRST LAYER	10,720,000



PART TWO

CALCULATION OF REINSTATEMENT PREMIUM (DATE 10-07-2010)

FORMULA

$$\frac{\text{LOSS RECOVERY}}{\text{CAPACITY OF 1ST LAYER}} \times \text{MINDEP PREM} \times 100\%$$
$$\frac{10,720,000}{16,000,000} \times 3,600,000 \times 100\% = 2,412,000$$

PART THREE

ADJUMENT OF PREMIUM

(DATE 31-12-2010)

GROSS PREMIUM

300,000,000

LESS Q/SHARE TREATY PREMIUM

(60,000,000)

LESS FACT. R/I PREMIUM

(25,000,000)

GROSS NET PREMIUM INCOME

215,000,000

ADJUSTMENT OF PREMIUM @ 2% X 215,000,000 = 4,300,000

LESS MINIMUM DEPOSIT PREMIUM PAID - (3,600,000)

ADDITIONAL PREMIUM PAYABLE = 700,000



PART FOUR
CALCULATION OF REINSTATEMENT PREMIUM ON
FINAL ADJUSTED PREMIUM

FORMULA

$\frac{\text{LOSS RECOVERY}}{\text{CAPACITY OF 1ST LAYER}} \times \text{ADJUSTED PREMIUM} \times 100\%$
FOR 1ST LAYER

$$\frac{10,720,000}{16,000,000} \times 700,000 \times 100\% = 469,000$$

SUMMARY

LOSS RECOVERY FIRST LAYER	10,720,000
LESS REINSTATEMENT PREMIUM	(2,412,000)
	(PART TWO)
	(469,000)
	(PART THREE)
NET RECOVERY FROM R/I	7,839,000



Non-proportional Reinsurance Slip

- Reinsured: XYZ Insurance Ltd. Karachi.
- Type of Treaty: Excess of Loss Reinsurance.
- Period: 12 months at 1 January 2018
- Scope of business: Protecting any one risk, underwritten in Reinsured's Fire Department.
- Conditions & Exclusions: As per list.
- Territorial Scope: Pakistan
- Priority & 100% Treaty Limit:
 - -1st layer: Rs.45 mln Xs 5 mln
 - -2nd layer: Rs.50 mln Xs 50 mln
- Reinstatement: 1st layer, 2 @ 100% Add. Prm. pro rata to amount only. 2nd layer, 1 @ 100 Add. Prm. pro rata to amount only.
- Rates & minimum deposit premiums:
 - - 1st layer 2%, Rs. 1.80 mln.
 - -2nd layer 1%, Rs. 0.80 mln.
- GNPI: Rs. 100 mln
- Brokerage: 15%
- Statistics & Information regarding the portfolio: As seen by reinsurer on 10 December, 2017.
- Leader: JYC Re 30%.



Combined proportional and Non Proportional reinsurance program

- Currently, reinsurers are encouraging reinsured, to buy combined proportional non proportional program, as they do not want to use their capacity on stand alone proportional program.
- They provide proportional capacity in shape of Quota Share Treaty, asking reinsured to keep high gross retention, and are providing additional capacity by Surplus Treaty on top of Quota Share Treaty.



Combined proportional and Non Proportional reinsurance program

- The net retention of reinsured than further is protected by non proportional program, a combination of Risk excess of loss treaty and Cat excess of loss treaty.
- An example of combined cover with USD 200mln. capacity on proportional basis with net retention of USD 10 mln. is displayed on next slides.
- The non proportional program (Risk XL and Cat XI) will protect reinsured net retention and spill over's from Event limit, Annual Aggregate Limit.

Combined Proportional / Non-proportional Program

Pro-rata Treaties (USD in Millions)			
Treaty	Total Capacity	Retention	Cession
Fire QS (50%)	100	50	50
Fire Surplus (1 Line)	200	100	100

File Pro-rata Treaties

Net Ret 50 m	QS (50%) 50 m	Surplus 100 m
-----------------	------------------	------------------

200 m

Combined Proportional / Non-proportional Program


Excess of Loss Treaties

Treaty	Ground-up Limit	Deductible
Fire Risk XL	50	10
Fire Cat XL	100	10

Risk XL



Cat XL





Stop Loss XL or Aggregate Ratio XL

- Also known as 'Excess of Loss Ratio cover' or 'Annual Aggregate Excess of Loss cover'. It does not respond to any single risk losses or Cat-events.
- It responds if a particular portfolio shows a high loss ratio in a particular year owing to several losses during the year.



Stop Loss XL or Aggregate Ratio XL

- As per cover terms, the insured bears all losses (aggregated during a year) up to a loss ratio of say 110% (priority) and loss amount representing loss-ratio in excess of the priority and up to the Limit shall be borne by the reinsurers.
- The Priority and the Limit are expressed as a Loss Ratio Percentage and also in monetary terms.
- Usually used for Crop Insurance business where single loss is difficult to quantify.



Example

- Crop Stop Loss XL Cover (Rs. in mlns)
- 1st Layer 90% Xs 110%
- GNPI 2009 200 mln 2010 280 mln.
- Rate 10%
- Example 1: Loss Recovery
- U/W year 2009: Adjusted Premium. 240 Claims. 160
- Loss Ratio $160/240=67\%$. As the claim ratio is below 110% of priority limit, no recovery is possible from Reinsurers.
- Example 2: Loss Recovery
- U/W year 2010: Adjusted Premium. 300 Claims. 360
- Loss Ratio $360/300=120\%$. As the claim ratio is above 110% of priority limit, 10% of loss recovery is possible from Reinsurers. i.e. $10\% \times 300 = 30$.
- Reinsured loss $110\% = 330$, + Reinsurer $10\% = 30$ Total claims 360.



FACULTATIVE REINSURANCE

In facultative form, a reinsurance transaction carries the discretionary characteristic, which is similar to that of direct insurance.

For ceding company or reinsurer there is no such obligation either to cede or to accept business, respectively. The ceding company provides necessary information about the risk to be ceded and the reinsurer on the other end has the option whether to accept or to refuse the risk offered. Similarly, the cedent (i.e direct insurer) has the freedom to cede a risk or to keep it for his own account.



ADVANTAGES

Normally, the insurance companies make use of facultative reinsurance when:

1. Their existing obligatory reinsurance arrangement are exhausted.
2. There is no provision of reinsurance cessions on certain type of risks in their Obligatory (treaty) reinsurance arrangement.
3. Nature of portfolio changes due to the underwriting of new risks or increases in the sums insured.
4. Adverse influence on the existing obligatory reinsurance arrangements are being anticipated.
5. And they wish to reduce the burden on their treaties.



DISADVANTAGES

1. Freedom of the parties (i.e reinsurer and cedent) leads to unavoidable difficulties, which restrict growth and development of the direct insurance business;
2. Administrative work load for the cedent is heavy, mainly due to the reason that:
 - He has to provide his reinsurers with all the necessary information for evaluation and assessment of the risk; hence, unnecessary delay in placing the risk;
 - Accountancy is burdensome; and
 - Management expenses are high
3. One of the serious disadvantages is that the insurer cannot commit to his insured until and unless the reinsurers' acceptance pertaining to the amount in excess of his retention and the obligatory capacity of his treaties, has been received.
4. Generally, errors and/or omissions are covered under an obligatory reinsurance arrangement whereas the position differs in case of a facultative reinsurance placement.



A TYPICAL EXAMPLE OF PAKISTAN MARKET

Class of business	:	Fire, extended to include riot & strike, malicious act explosion & Acts of God (natural perils)
Name of ceding company	:	ABC Insurance Company Limited
Name of original insured	:	Sugar factory limited
	:	Building, Machinery & Stock
	:	Rs.1, 500,000,000
	:	Loss of Profits
	:	Rs. 500,000,000
	:	<u>Rs. 2,000,000,000</u>
Location	:	Jhang, Punjab
Period	:	12 months at 01.01.2018
Rate	:	Building, Machinery & Stock : 2 per cent
	:	Loss of Profits : 1.25 per cent
Commission	:	15 per cent
Retention of Ceding Company :	10 per cent	
Cessions to existing Treaties	:	50 per cent (including compulsory surplus to Pakistan Reinsurance Limited)
Percentage to be reinsured	:	40 per cent

This slip is circulated amongst the prospective reinsurers, who, if wish to participate, will initial the slip by writing down their share against their respective names, following "Closing Particulars") giving brief details of the risk accepted by the reinsurer. Normally, "Closings" along with accounts are prepared by the cedent on a monthly or quarterly basis covering all the transactions between him and his reinsurer during that period, and are sent to the reinsurer along with the payments (premium less commission).

A.M. Best		Fitch		Moody's		Standard & Poor's	
<u>SECURE</u>		<u>SECURE</u>		<u>STRONG</u>		<u>SECURE</u>	
A++,A++	Superior	AAA	Exceptionally strong	Aaa	Exceptional	AAA	Extremely strong
A, A-	Excellent	AA	Very strong	Aa	Excellent	AA	Very strong
B++, B+	Very good	A	Strong	A	Good	A	Strong
		BBB	Good	Baa	Adequate	BBB	Good
<u>VULNERABLE</u>		<u>VULNERABLE</u>		<u>WEAK</u>		<u>VULNERABLE</u>	
B, B-	Fair	BB	Moderately weak	Ba	Questionable	BB	Marginal
C++,C+	Marginal	B	Weak	B	Poor	B	Weak
C, C-	Weak	CCC,CC,C	Very weak	Caa	Very poor	CCC	Very weak
D	Poor	DDD,DD,D	Distressed	Ca	Extremely poor	CC	Extremely weak
E	Under regulatory supervision			C	Lowest	R	Regulatory action
F	In liquidation						
S	Rating suspended						
Within-category modifiers		+,-		1,2,3 (1 high, 3 low)		+,-	74



***THANK YOU
FOR
YOUR PATIENCE***

